```
BILAL A. ESSAYLI
 1
    United States Attorney
    CHRISTINA T. SHAY
 2
    Assistant United States Attorney
 3
    Chief, Criminal Division
    KEVIN J. BUTLER (Cal. Bar No. 329129)
 4
    JENA A. MACCABE (Cal. Bar No. 316637)
    Assistant United States Attorneys
 5
    Violent and Organized Crime Section
         1300 United States Courthouse
 6
         312 North Spring Street
         Los Angeles, California 90012
 7
         Telephone: (213) 894-6495/5046
         Facsimile: (213) 894-0141
 8
         E-mail:
                    kevin.butler2@usdoj.gov
                     jena.maccabe@usdoj.gov
 9
    Attorneys for Plaintiff
10
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
11
                         UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                    FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12
13
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                        No. 5:25-cr-00198-KK-3, 6
14
                                        STIPULATION REGARDING REQUEST FOR
              Plaintiff,
                                        (1) CONTINUANCE OF TRIAL DATE AND
15
                   V.
                                        (2) FINDINGS OF EXCLUDABLE TIME
                                        PERIODS PURSUANT TO SPEEDY TRIAL
16
    PABLO RAUL LUGO LARROIG,
                                        ACT
      aka "Walter Loza," and
                                        CURRENT TRIAL DATE:
17
    JESON NELON PRESILLA FLORES,
                                                                08-11-25
                                                                02-23-26
                                        PROPOSED TRIAL DATE:
18
              Defendants.
19
20
         Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel
21
    of record, the United States Attorney for the Central District of
22
    California and Assistant United States Attorneys Kevin J. Butler and
23
    Jena A. MacCabe, and defendants PABLO RAUL LUGO LARROIG and JESON
24
    NELON PRESILLA FLORES ("defendant"), both individually and by and
25
    through their counsel of record, Deputy Federal Public Defender Young
26
    Kim and John Robertson, respectively, hereby stipulate as follows:
27
         1.
              The Indictment in this case was filed on June 11, 2025.
```

Defendants first appeared before a judicial officer of the court in

which the charges in this case were pending on June 17, 2025. The Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, originally required that the trial commence on or before August 26, 2025. All other defendants are fugitives.

- 2. On June 17, 2025, the Court set a trial date of August 11, 2025, and a pretrial conference and motion hearing on July 24, 2025, at 1:30 p.m.
- 3. Defendants are detained pending trial. The parties estimate that the trial in this matter will last approximately six days. All defendants are joined for trial and a severance has not been granted.
- 4. By this stipulation, defendant moves to continue the trial date to February 23, 2026, and the pretrial conference and motion hearing to February 5, 2026, at 1:30 p.m. This is the first request for a continuance.
- 5. Defendant requests the continuance based upon the following facts, which the parties believe demonstrate good cause to support the appropriate findings under the Speedy Trial Act:
- a. Defendants are charged with violations of 18 U.S.C. § 371: Conspiracy; 18 U.S.C. § 1951(a): Conspiracy to Interfere with Commerce by Robbery and Interference with Commerce by Robbery; 18 U.S.C. § 659: Theft from Interstate or Foreign Shipment. The government has produced discovery to the defense, including law enforcement reports, investigative process and returns, videos, photographs, license plate reader information, cell-site location information, and criminal history information for a total of 4,491 bates numbers.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defense counsel for defendant LUGO is presently scheduled to be in the following trials: (1) on July 22, 2025, in United States v. Jaimes, ED CR 23-199-JGB, a kidnapping case, trial is expected to last three to four days, where defendant is in custody and arraigned October 17, 2023, with three continuances granted; (2) on July 28, 2025, in United States v. De La Cruz Reyes, ED CR 24-00269-SSS, a production of child pornography case, where trial is expected to last four to five days and defendant is in custody and arraigned November 24, 2024 with one continuance granted; (3) on August 18, 2025, in United States v. Adams, ED CR 24-00047-KK, a drug trafficking case, where trial is expected to last two to three days and defendant is out on bond and arraigned March 8, 2024, with three continuances granted; (4) on September 8, 2025 in United States v. Ica, 25-CR-156-JGB, a fraud case, trial is expected to last five days, where defendant is in custody and arraigned on May 21, 2025, with one continuance granted; (5) on September 8, 2025, in United States v. Villa, ED CR 24-125-KK, a felon in possession of a firearm case, trial is expected to last three days, where defendant is in custody and arraigned October 23, 2024, with three continuances granted; (6) on September 30, 2025, in United States v. Davis, ED CR 22-166-JGB, a drug trafficking case, trial is expected to last three days, where defendant is in custody and arraigned February 2, 2024, with three continuances granted; (7) on November 4, 2025, in United States v. Gonzalez, ED CR 22-00014-JGB, a drug trafficking case, where trial is expected to last three days and defendant is in custody and arraigned March 13, 2024, with two continuances granted; (8) on November 10, 2025, in United States v. Ruiz-Medina, ED CR 24-216-SSS, a drug trafficking case, where trial is expected to last two

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

to three days and defendant is in custody and arraigned September 9, 2024, with three continuances granted; (9) on November 18, 2025, in United States v. Cabrera, ED CR 24-215-JGB, a bank fraud case, trial is expected to last four to five days, where defendant is out on bond and arraigned September 24, 2024, with two continuance granted; (10) on December 9, 2025, in United States v. Jimenez-Ibanez, ED CR 25-00217-AH, an illegal reentry case, where trial is expected to last three days and defendant is in custody and arraigned April 3, 2025 with one continuance granted; (11) on January 27, 2026, in United States v. Chavarria-Bucio, ED CR 25-00104-JLS, an illegal reentry case, where trial is expected to last three days and defendant is in custody and arraigned April 8, 2025 with one continuance granted; and (12) on April 13, 2026 in United States v. Sergio Miranda-Madrigal, 23-CR-129-JGB, a capital murder case, trial is expected to last five days, where defendant is in custody and arraigned on July 25, 2023, with three prior continuances granted. Accordingly, counsel represents that he will not have the time that he believes is necessary to prepare to try this case on the current trial date.

c. Defense counsel for defendant PRESILLA is presently scheduled to be in the following trials: (1) <u>United States v. Wu</u>, 2:24-cr-00115-DOC, in the Central District of California, a money laundering and narcotics distribution case, which is currently set for trial on January 13, 2026, and has had 3 prior continuances. The case is complex, and there is no likelihood of further continuance on this matter and therefore it is likely that the trial will proceed on the scheduled date. If the case proceeds to trial, it is estimated to last 3 weeks; (2) <u>United States v. Jaramillo</u>, 2:23-cr-00411(A)-HDV, in the Central District of California, a racketeering conspiracy case

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

and a case involving violent acts in aid of racketeering, which is currently set for trial on March 10, 2026, and has had 2 prior continuances. This is a complex case, and negotiations are taking place to resolve the matter. At this time Defense Counsel does not anticipate requesting a future continuance, and there is a strong likelihood that the matter be resolved without a trial. However, if this case proceeds to trial, it is estimated to last 30 days; (3) United States v. Romero, 2:24-cr-00381-MRA, in the Central District of California, a narcotics distribution case, which is currently set for trial on August 18, 2025, and has had 3 prior continuances. Since the case is not complex, Defense Counsel does not think that a future continuance will be requested, and there is a significant likelihood that the case will be resolved by the trial date. However, if this case proceeds to trial, it is estimated to last 3 days; (4) United States v. Henley, 2:25-cr-00211-FLA, in the Central District of California, a case involving the transportation of an individual across state borders for purposes of prostitution, which is currently set for trial on May 5, 2026, and has had 1 prior continuance, and Defense Counsel is preparing for trial by reviewing voluminous discovery materials recently disclosed. If the case is not resolved, trial is estimated to last 12 days; (5) United States v. Maea, 2:23-cr-563-MWF, in the Central District of California, a case involving interfering with commerce by robbery, which is currently set for trial on October 7, 2025, and has had three prior continuances, a plea agreement has been filed and so trial preparation is on hold; however, if the case proceeds to trial, it is estimated to last 5 days; and (6) United States v. Artuni, 2:25-cr-434-JLS, in the Central District of California, a racketeering case

that involved engaging in acts of murder, theft from interstate shipments, bank and wire fraud, and forgery and false use of a passport, which is currently set for trial on July 29, 2025, and has had no prior continuances, and Defense Counsel is preparing for trial by reviewing discovery materials that have been recently disclosed. If the case is not resolved, trial is estimated to last 15 days. Accordingly, counsel represents that he will not have the time that he believes is necessary to prepare to try this case on the current trial date.

- d. In light of the foregoing, counsel for defendants also represent that additional time is necessary to confer with defendants, conduct and complete an independent investigation of the case, conduct and complete additional legal research including for potential pre-trial motions, review the discovery and potential evidence in the case, and prepare for trial in the event that a pretrial resolution does not occur. Defense counsel represent that failure to grant the continuance would deny them reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
- e. Defendants believe that failure to grant the continuance will deny them continuity of counsel and adequate representation.
 - f. The government does not object to the continuance.
- g. The requested continuance is not based on congestion of the Court's calendar, lack of diligent preparation on the part of the attorney for the government or the defense, or failure on the part of the attorney for the Government to obtain available witnesses.

- For purposes of computing the date under the Speedy Trial Act by which defendant's trial must commence, the parties agree that the time period of August 11, 2025, to February 23, 2026, inclusive, should be excluded pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (h) (7) (B) (i), and (h) (7) (B) (iv) because the delay results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request, without government objection, on the basis of the Court's finding that: (i) the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and defendant in a speedy trial; (ii) failure to grant the continuance would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible, or result in a miscarriage of justice; and (iii) failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably deny defendant continuity of counsel and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. Nothing in this stipulation shall preclude a finding that
 - 7. Nothing in this stipulation shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods be excluded from the period within which trial must commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of the Speedy //

Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of additional time periods from the period within which trial must commence. IT IS SO STIPULATED. Dated: July 21, 2025 Respectfully submitted, BILAL A. ESSAYLI United States Attorney CHRISTINA T. SHAY Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Criminal Division /s/ KEVIN J. BUTLER JENA A. MACCABE Assistant United States Attorneys Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

my right to be brought to trial earlier than February 23, 2026.

7/17/25
Date Defendant

CERTIFICATION OF INTERPRETER

ARINA ARROLF, am fluent in the written and spoken English and Spanish languages. I accurately ℓ ranslated this entire agreement from English into Spanish to defendant PABLO RAUL LUGO LARROIG on this date.

7/17/25

INTERPRETER

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

I am JESON NELON PRESILLA FLORES's attorney. I have carefully discussed every part of this stipulation and the continuance of the trial date with my client. I have fully informed my client of his Speedy Trial rights. To my knowledge, my client understands those rights and agrees to waive them. I believe that my client's decision to give up the right to be brought to trial earlier than February 23, 2026, is an informed and voluntary one.

	_
Mullallette	
JOHN ROBERTSON	
Attorney for Defendant	
JESON NELON PRESILLA FLORES	

2/21/25 Date

I have read this stipulation and have carefully discussed it with my attorney. This agreement has been read to me in Spanish, the language I understand best, and I have carefully discussed every part of it with my attorney. I understand my Speedy Trial rights. I voluntarily agree to the continuance of the trial date, and give up my right to be brought to trial earlier than February 23, 2026.

18 Journey

JESON NELON PRESILLA FLORES Defendant

07/21/2 Date

CERTIFICATION OF INTERPRETER

I, Madeline Newman Rios, am fluent in the written and spoken English and Spanish languages. I accurately translated this entire agreement from English into Spanish to defendant JESON NELON PRESILLA FLORES on this date.

Madlin Human Pice

07/21/25 Date